WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Juan Moreno Tasabia	Case Number: 16-8047MJ
Defendant was present and was represented	J.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held. by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of d order the detention of the defendant pending
	S OF FACT
	of the United States or lawfully admitted for
	e charged offense, was in the United States
	contacts in the United States or in the District of
Arizona. ☐ The defendant has no resources	in the United States from which he/she might
I he defendant has a prior criminal	ed to assure his/her future appearance. I history.
☐ The defendant lives/works in Mexi-	CO.
the United States and has súbstar ☐ There is a record of the defendant	icant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in ntial family ties to Mexico. using numerous aliases.
The defendant attempted to evad enforcement.	using numerous aliases. e law enforcement contact by fleeing from law
The defendant is facing a maxim imprisonment.	um of years
·	the material findings in the Pretrial Services he time of the hearing in this matter, except as

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 22nd day of February, 2016.

Honorable John Z. Boyle United States Magistrate Judge